

Application No. 10/763,023  
Amendment Dated September 9, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of June 14, 2005

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action dated June 14, 2005, claims 1-6 were rejected. The rejection, however, was non-final. In response, Applicant is filing a Terminal Disclaimer. In view of the Terminal Disclaimer and the following remarks, reconsideration of this application is requested.


In the Office Action, claims 1-6 were rejected under the judicially created Doctrine of Obviousness Type Double Patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent 6,277,837. In response, Applicant has filed the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer. In view of the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer, Applicant believes the Examiner should now withdraw the obviousness type double patenting rejection of present claims 1-6 in view of the '837 patent.

An effort has been made to place this application in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

By



Thomas M. Wozny  
Reg. No. 28,922

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP  
100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202  
Telephone: (414) 271-7590  
Facsimile: (414) 271-5770